The South Carolina Department of Social Services (Human Services Division) conducted a qualitative review of Anderson County DSS beginning November 18, 2002. The review consisted of case record reviews, staff interviews, client contacts, and external stakeholder interviews. In this review, the external stakeholders interviewed were foster parents, the Guardian ad litem Program, Anderson County Sheriff's Department, Administrative Court Judge, Anderson County DSS Staff Attorney, Anderson/Oconee Behavioral Health Services, Family Counseling Center and the Anderson County Police Department.

#### DEMOGRAPHIC AND SAMPLE INFORMATION

Program	Referrals	CPS	CPS	CPS	Foster	Foster	FHL	MTS	Adopt.
under	Not	Invest.	Treat.	Treat.	Care -	Care -			
review	Accepted		Active	Closed	Active	Closed			
	As CPS								
	Reports								
Case	0*	389	140	11	200	0*	57	61	17
Population									
for Period									
Under									
Review									
Cases	10	10	10	5	10	5	10	5	3
Reviewed									

<sup>\*</sup> There were no cases showing in CAPSS at the time the sample was run. (11-2002) although the county was able to provide cases for review.

The Measures and Output section of this report is based on the Child Welfare Outcome Measures Report that captures information off the CAPSS system as of 09-30-02.

#### MEASURES AND OUTPUTS

Outcome 1: Increase permanency for children in Foster Care (Safe and Stable Home for Every Child)

Measure	Source	County		State		
		#	%	#	%	
Total Children in Care	CAPSS	191	100%	4993	100%	
Children in care more than 12	CAPSS	118	61.78%	3110	62.29%	
months						
In care more than 12 months	CAPPS	59	50.00%	823	26.46%	
where permanency hearing has						
not been held						
Children in care for whom	CAPPS	Report under development				
permanency plan has not been						
achieved within 3/6 months after						
permanency planning hearing						

Summary:

Anderson DSS has the structure in place to enable achievement of this outcome. They have addressed this issue in their 2001/2002 Self-Assessment. Among their goals is to ensure timely permanency hearings and timely completion of TPR documentation.

**Outcome 2:** Reduce Time in Foster Care to Adoption

Measure	County		State		
	#	Months	#	Months	
Average number of months in foster care until	0	N/A	293	49.06	
adoption is final					
Average number of days/months in foster care	Report under development				
after permanency planning hearing approves a					
plan of TPR or adoption for the child					
Average number of days/months in foster care	Report under development				
after TPR is granted					

Summary: Anderson County has been successful in achieving this outcome.

.

**Outcome 3: Improve Child Well-Being** 

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number and percentage of children and	In the case	s reviewed	where Foster Chi	ldren were
adolescents attending school and performing:	in school,	we found th	nat most were on g	grade level,
passing grades, maintaining grade level, school	and not ex	hibiting atte	endance or behavi	or problems.
readiness (1st), truancy, suspensions/expulsions,				
literacy, diploma/GED, secondary education				
Number and percentage of children and	In the case	s reviewed,	we found that all	children
adolescents who show physical and mental	identified v	with mental	health or adjustn	nent issues
health is stable or improving (therapy,	were active	ely receivin	g therapy or coun	seling.
screenings)				
Number and percentage of children with	In the case	s reviewed,	we found that no	children
substance abuse are stable or improving	were ident	ified with s	ubstance abuse iss	sues.
Number and percentage of runaways	6	100.00%	107	100.00%
Number and percentage who age out of foster	Report und	der develop	ment	
care and failed to meet goals				
Number and percentage who become pregnant	From case file review and caseworker interviews,			
	we noted no cases of pregnancy.			
Number and percentage who are adjudicated for	In the cases reviewed, we found no children			
delinquency: once, more than once.	currently in	nvolved wit	th delinquency iss	ues.

Summary: Based on case reviews, children in foster care in Anderson are receiving services to address any mental health or medical issues.

Outcome 4: Reduce Time in Foster Care to Reunification Without Increasing Re-entry

Measure	County		State		
	#	%	#	%	
Number and percentage of children who show physical and mental health stability and/or improvement	Based on our review Foster Care cases and caseworker interviews, all children were either receiving counseling or in a supportive placement which stabilized any physical or mental health conditions.				
Number and percentage of parents with substance abuse who are stable and/or improving	Report under development				
Average number of family/relative visits per child per month (parents, other relatives)	In the Foster Care cases where visitation was a part of the case plan, we noted frequent visitation occurring with family and/or relatives.				
Number of children who return to foster care after reunification within 12 months	0	N/A	22	6.96%	

Summary:

Our case review indicated that visitation occurred on a regular and planned basis. Children in foster care in Anderson are receiving services to address any mental health or medical issues. According to the data, Anderson is very successful in preventing children returning to foster care after reunification within 12 months.

Outcome 5: Reduce Placements of Young Children in Group Homes or Institutions (Federal Requirements)

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Percentage of children age 12 years and below	0	N/A	2384	100.00%
placed in group homes or institutions				

Summary:

Anderson DSS is taking the age of the child into consideration when arranging placements, by considering the availability of individual foster homes first.

#### Outcome 6: Reduce/Prevent Abuse of Children in Foster Care

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number of children in foster care with	0	N/A	28	100%
substantiated or indicated maltreatment by a				
foster parent or facility staff person				

Summary: Anderson DSS has regular telephone and face-to-face contacts with foster parents by staff.. In their Self-Assessment Anderson County DSS listed several strategies to have no children maltreated while in care: Two of these strategies are:

- Provide ongoing training to Foster Parents and establish trusting relationships with the child in Anderson's care.
- Foster Care staff will be given a refresher on the indicators and dynamics of abuse and neglect.

Outcome 7: Increase Stability of Placements and Other Aspects of Foster Children's Lives

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Percentage of children in foster care with	48	25.13%	702	14.06%
more than two placements				

Summary: Of the ten FC cases pulled for review, the average number of placement changes was two. Reasons for placement changes varied but in most cases it was to move the child into appropriate placements that best suited their individual needs.

#### Outcome 8: Increase Stability of Children's Lives

Measure	County		State		
	#	%	#	%	
Percentage of children who change	Of the chil	dren in Fo	oster Care	who are	
schools/schools systems:	attending s	school:			
Once	Of the cases selected for review, several had				
	changed so	chool as th	ne result of	f placement.	
More than once	Of the case	es selected	d for review	w, several had	
	changed school as the result of placements.				
Percentage of cases with more than one change	Of the cases reviewed, there was very little				
in foster care case workers	change in foster care caseworkers.				

Summary:

Anderson County DSS foster children had very few caseworker changes while in foster care. The documentation in the files reviewed did not directly address changes in schools or school districts, but review staff were able to determine from dictation those changes in schools that were recorded.

Outcome 9: Reduce/Prevent Abuse and Neglect of Children

Measure	County		State		
	#	%	#	%	
Number and percentage of indicated cases of	15	30.00	516	36.96%	
child abuse and/or neglect:					
Among high-risk populations	Report under development				
Number of fatalities among children known to	1	100.00%	13	100.00%	
the agency		-			

Summary:

According to their Self-Assessment, Anderson DSS has reorganized their CPS units. They now have a high risk unit with 2 workers completing investigations and two workers providing treatment to high risk cases. In the medium risk units, four workers assess medium risk referrals and, if they are indicated, continue to provide treatment services to the family. In addition, an FI worker has been designated to work with low risk referrals where the allegations do not meet the standard of the CPS law but intervention is needed to prevent future CPS involvement.

#### Outcome 10: Reduce/Prevent Recurrence of Child Abuse and Neglect

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number and percentage of cases of children with 2 <sup>nd</sup> indicated report within 12 months of the 1 <sup>st</sup> indicated report	Report under development			
Number and percentage of cases of children with 2 <sup>cd</sup> indicated report within 12 months of reunification	0	N/A	24	10.80%

Summary: The reviewers noted that several cases reviewed did have prior CPS history, either of unfounded reports, active agency involvement, or not accepted at referrals.

Outcome 11: Limit the Number of Abused and/or Neglected Children Entering Foster Care to Those Who are Truly Endangered in Their Home

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number and percentage of children court	160	83.77%	4090	81.91%
ordered into placement				
Number and percentage of children placed	23	12.04%	364	7.29%
informally (with relatives)				
Number and percentage of treatment cases	7	4.93%	443	8.54%
closed with risk reduced and treatment goals				
achieved (i.e. parents' and children's				
physical/mental health and safety improved)				

Summary: Anderson DSS states in their self-assessment they will do the following to achieve this goal:

- Use of team decision making conferences.
- Fully utilizing community partners.
- Change mindset of staff from authoritative investigators to an assessment approach will be reinforced and supported.
- Focus on Family to Family and Differential Response initiatives.

Outcome 12: Minimize Intrusion upon the Lives of Families and Children

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number and percentage of children and families,	In the interviews conducted, all			
when asked, indicate/feel that the agency	interviewees felt that while they would			
intruded in their lives:	prefer no involvement with the agency			
	they understood why the agency was			
	involved in their lives.			
Where services are provided (community based)	Interviews and case record reviews			
	indicated the provision of services			
	were usually available within the			
	community but several providers had			
	waiting lists for their services.			
How we deliver services (mutual planning)	In interviews and in case record			
	reviews, planning was occurring in			
	CPS and FC cases with service			
	providers.			
When services are provided (convenient hours)	Case documentation indicated that it			
	was possib		-	
	times for so	ervice to b	e provide	d.
How clients were treated (respect and dignity)	Foster Parents interviewed reported			
	that they w	ere treated	d with resp	ect.
Number of days/weeks from achievement of	Report under development			
treatment goals to case closure in treatment cases				

Summary: Anderson DSS did a good job of identifying and providing appropriate services to their clients. While clients were not always involved in

their service plans, case planning with service providers was occurring.

Outcome 13: Increase Supply of Foster Home Placement Slots

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number of licensed foster homes	55	100%	1843	100%
Kinship care	Report under development			
Placements that fit	Report under development			
Close to home	Report under development			

Summary:

A review of the case files indicates that there is a problem in the FHL process. Many of the licenses reviewed had expired and children were being housed in unlicensed homes. Anderson DSS states in their 2001/2002 self-assessment that FHL staff will make every effort to recruit and license new homes and to fully utilize existing homes when arranging placements. County interviews indicated that this problem had already been identified and addressed.

**Outcome 14:** Increase Number of Adoptions

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number of adoptions finalized	0	0	293	49.06%

Summary: In their 2001/2002 Self-Assessment Anderson lists several strategies that will be used to successfully achieve this outcome. They include timely completion of TPR documentation and increase the number of TPR successful hearings.

**Outcome 15:** Reduce Number of Disrupted Adoptions

Measure	County		State	
	#	%	#	%
Number of adoptions disrupted within 12 months	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
of finalization				

Summary: No report available with this information.